



**Minutes of SENATE/2011-12/3rd Meeting held on June 18, 2012 at 11:00 a.m. in the
Conference Hall of the Institute**

The SENATE/2011-12 /3rd meeting of the Senate was held on June 18, 2012 from 11:00 a.m. in the Conference Hall of the PDPM IIITDM Jabalpur. Following members were present.

1.	Prof. Aparajita Ojha	Chairperson
2.	Prof. P. K. Jhing	Member
3.	Prof. Uday Khedkar	Member
4.	Prof. P Krishna Reddy	Member
5.	Dr. V. K. Gupta	Member
6.	Dr. Tanuja Sheorey	Member
7.	Shri S. Amane	Member
8.	Dr. Mukesh Kumar Roy	Member
9.	Dr. Bhupendra Gupta	Member
10.	Dr. P. K. Padhy	Member
11.	Shri Prabodh Pandey	Acting Secretary

Following special invitees from among the members of academic staff of PDPM IIITDM Jabalpur were also present.

1. Dr. Atul Gupta
2. Dr. P.N. Kondekar
3. Dr. Ashish Kundu
4. Dr. Dinesh Kumar V.
5. Dr. Goutam Dutta
6. Shri K. K. Balakrishnan
7. Dr. Lokendra Balyan
8. Dr. Mamta Anand
9. Dr. N R Jena
10. Dr. P. K. Jain
11. Dr. P. K. Kankar
12. Dr. R.K. Pandey
13. Dr. Rajib Jha
14. Dr. Sunil Agrawal
15. Mr. T. V. K. Gupta
16. Shri D. S. Ramteke

Following members expressed their inability to attend the meeting due to their prior commitments-

(i) Prof. Ashish Dutta (ii) Shri Awadhesh Parihar (iii) Prof. B L Mishra (iv) Prof. H. P. Dikshit (v) Prof. Huzur Saran (vi) Prof. Laxman Pandey (vii) Prof. Manoj Harbola (viii) Prof. P. C. Das (ix) Prof. Phalguni Gupta (x) Dr. Pritee Khanna (xi) Prof. Puneet Tandon (xii) Prof. R. Chatterjee (xiii) Prof. S. K. Choudhary (xiv) Dr. Sameer Khandekar (xv) Prof. Vinayak Eswaran.

Dr. Subir Singh Lamba was absent from the meeting.

Senate/2011-12/3.01	Overview report of the Chairperson
---------------------	------------------------------------

This year has been very challenging and satisfying for all of us who wanted a change in the curriculum for adding new dimensions to engineering education. It was a risk and we succeeded in our efforts in implementing project-based internships. I congratulate each and every one of you for devoting your time, energy and for keeping patience to see the outcome. This has encouraged us to think of further refinement in our education system in general and our curriculum in particular. In the last Senate meeting, we discussed about bringing a change in the curriculum and in the pedagogy for making it more student centric and oriented towards learning by practice. Although we have not come up with a solution, our efforts are on and it seems we will definitely come out with a method and a curriculum that would become exemplary for other institutions of higher learning. I seek your utmost support in achieving our goals.

Students' placement has been very encouraging this year with 12 students recruited by BEL for their R&D unit. This year the Japanese companies have also shown keen interest in recruiting our students. Canon has recruited 1 student, Amada has recruited 2 and two more students are in the active list of Hitachi for its R&D unit. Further, from this year, long term internships have also been proposed by Chiba University, Mitsubishi Heavy Industries apart from internship offers by Canon and Amada like last year.

Average package was somewhere around 7.2 for CSE, 7.35 for ECE and 4.2 for ME discipline and the highest package is 24.45 LPA offered to Abhinav Singh Suryavanshi by Canon. This is the result of his six months internship in Japan last year.

One of the significant developments in IIIT – Japan collaboration is the beginning of PG mentorship programme and our first student Arpit Gupta from M. Tech. Mechatronics programme has been selected by Chiba University.

Following projects have been sanctioned after the last meeting of the Senate was held on March 24, 2012.

- (1) Brain Controlled Mobile Robot. PI Dr. PK Padhy and Co-PI Dr. VK Gupta –DST project
- (2) Wavelets and Operational Matrix Based Techniques for Integral and Differential Equations. Dr. Rajesh Kumar Pandey is the PI under fast track scheme of DST.
- (3) Review of the Impact and Quality of Right to Service Act in MP: With Special Reference to Seventeen Districts - PI Dr. VK Gupta

Conferences /workshops planned by the Institute faculty are as follows –

- (1) International conference on innovations in design and manufacturing - December 5-7, 2012. Coordinator Professor Puneet Tandon, co-coordinators are Dr. VK Gupta and Dr. Pavan Kumar Kankar
- (2) Design Workshop 2012 – December 5-7, 2012. Coordinator Dr. VK Gupta, Co-coordinator – Dr. Prashant Jain
- (3) Research Promotion Workshop on Introduction to Graph and Geometric Algorithms, November 1-3, 2012. Coordinators – Dr. Pritee Khanna, Dr. Sraban Kumar Mohanty, Prof. Subir Kumar Ghosh, TIFR, Mumbai and Prof. Sudebkumar Prasant Pal, IIT, Kharagpur
- (4) Workshop on Wavelet and its Applications, July 13-15, 2012, Coordinators - Dr. Pritee Khanna, Dr. Rajesh Kumar Pandey and Dr. Pavan Kumar Kankar.

Another significant event in the this year was hosting of an NPTEL workshop during March 31-April 1, 2012 at the Institute targeting teachers and scholars from MP zone. This was the first workshop held in Madhya Pradesh to encourage and promote the use of NPTEL material. Over 170 participants from 27 different institutions participated in the workshop. Dr. Satyaki Roy and Dr. Tanuja Sheorey were coordinators. Two more events organized by Electronics faculty were - Cadence Workshop – February 17-18, 2012, Coordinator Dr. PN Kondekar and Dr. Jawar Singh and May 3-7, 2012 Electronics Design – Basics by Dr. PN Kondekar and Dr. Jawar Singh.

The next Convocation is being planned now. However due to unavailability of a suitable eminent person whom Senate had recommended as Chief Guest, the Convocation may be scheduled in August, 2012.

Senate/2011-12/3.02	Confirmation of the minutes of the SENATE/2011-12/2 nd meeting held on March 24, 2012.
---------------------	---

The minutes of the SENATE/2011-12/2nd meeting held on March 24, 2012 were confirmed by the SENATE (SENATE/2011-12/3/Annexure I)

An observation was made by Prof. Puneet Tandon, but he was not present in the 2nd meeting of SENATE held on March 24, 2012. Hence, the observation made by him was not included in the minutes.

Senate/2011-12/3.03	Reports of UGCS, PGCS and recommendations for the award of degrees to the qualifying students for B.Tech., M.Tech. and M.Des.
---------------------	---

Following reports of UGCS, PGCS were presented by the Convener UGCS and PGCS for Semester II of Session 2011-12:

Senate/2011-12/3.03.01 Convener UGCS and PGCS informed the members that the online admission process of CCB (AIEEE-2012) has been initiated for BTech programme and the Institute is also participating in the process as a help centre and an admission centre. Also, the admission process for master and doctoral programme is also on for the academic session 2012-2013.

Senate/2011-12/3.03.02 APER and Termination Cases: Convener, UGCS & PGCS presented Academic Performance Evaluation report (APER) for the Semester II, 2011-12 (SENATE/2011-12/3/Annexure II). Based on the report, academic programmes of 25 UG students were recommended for termination and they were given the opportunity to appeal as per the provisions given in the B. Tech manual. Appeals of all 25 students were placed before the Senate for consideration. At this point members expressed concern over the increasing number of termination cases in each year. Members were apprised of the fact that most of the students who come from different social and academic backgrounds find it difficult to cope with the complexities in the early years of their academic programme. This results in their poor academic performance and termination of programme. Also their performance gets affected in higher level courses due to poor understanding of concepts and methods in core courses. The matter of termination was discussed in detail amongst the members of the senate and one of the members suggested that word Academic Probation may be termed as Academic Improvement and Academic Termination may be termed as Academic Drop. It was also suggested that students be given the chance to complete their UG courses in maximum 6 years and PG courses in maximum 2.5 years. Appealed students against their termination will be placed on one year academic break and they will be allowed to clear their backlogs and improve the courses in which they obtained lower than 'C' grade. At the end of one year they should obtain a CPI \geq 5.0 before registering in the next semester.

Keeping this in view, a decision to make the following modifications in the existing rules for UG programme was taken unanimously, related to inadequate academic performance, after long deliberations.

Section 5 of the B. Tech. manual be modified as given below -

1. Academic probation be renamed as Academic Improvement.
2. If the academic performance of a student under “academic improvement” in a semester does not improve (either has not passed at least three courses or has not secured a minimum SPI of 4.5) at the end of the semester, the student will have the following two options and will be allowed to choose only one in consultation with his parents/ guardian.
 - a. *Academic Drop*: The student drops his programme and leaves the Institute with immediate effect without getting any degree.
 - b. *Academic Break*: The student will be put on academic break for one year and will be allowed to improve his performance in courses in which he scored a grade less than C (i.e. D+ or D or F). The student will also be allowed to complete the courses dropped due to his/ her poor academic performance. A student can opt for courses up to the maximum credits of 18 (4 to 5 credits less than normal semester load). It is expected that student will improve his academic performance to get a CPI of 5.0 at the end of academic break.
3. At the end of the academic break, if a student is able to score CPI of 5.0 or more, he will be allowed to continue his programme with the junior batch as a regular student.
4. In case a student is not able to score CPI of 5.0 at the end of the academic break, his programme will be dropped with immediate effect. This will be termed as “Academic Drop”.

During deliberations some of the members raised the issue of increasing the maximum duration of undergraduate programme beyond 6 years. After deliberations, the Senate unanimously concluded that the present upper limit of six years be maintained.

After passing the above modifications in rules, the Senate accepted appeals of all 25 undergraduate students by applying the rules mentioned above.

Four PG students were also placed on Termination of their Academic Programmes and were given the opportunity to appeal as per rules. One student did not appeal against termination. At this moment one member suggested giving a chance of one semester to PG students also in line with the decision for UG students but the Senate did not consider this proposal to keep the highest of standards in the PG programme and not to

compromise on the quality as PG students are already having a graduate degree in engineering/relevant discipline.

After deliberations appeals of Mr. Devendra Jaiswal (1110402) and Mr. Kirtiman Singh (1220502) were accepted by the Senate and they were allowed to continue their academic programme based on their past performance. Appeal of one PG student Mr. Ghanendra Kumar (1110203) was not accepted by the Senate because of his poor academic performance and no satisfactory reason given in his appeal. It was also suggested by some members that appeal of PG students may be routed through their thesis guides /mentors in order to get their feedback on the student's overall performance and his problems.

During the discussion one senate member put an observation that under the termination clause even if student is having CPI of more than 6.5 (more than the required CPI for program completion), his program will be terminated due to SPI requirement of 6.0, which is not justified. After deliberation, senate accepted the observation and modified the clause 4.12.3(a) related to termination from "His/her SPI is below 6.0" to "His/her SPI is below 6.0 and CPI is below 6.5".

Similar to this clause 4.12.4(a) for Ph.D. student is modified as

"His/her SPI is below 6.5 and CPI is below 7.0"

Senate/2011-12/3.03.03 Award of Degree (B. Tech., M. Tech., M. Des., PhD):

Convener, UGCS & PGCS presented lists of students of UG and PG who had fulfilled the requirement for the award of degrees. Senate recommended names of 119 Students (42-CSE, 36-ME and 41-ECE) for award of B. Tech. degree in their respective disciplines, 04 students for award of M. Tech. degree in their respective disciplines and 01 students for Ph.D. in Mechanical Engineering to the BOG for its approval. The list of students is enclosed as [SENATE/2011-12/3/Annexure III](#). Further, the Coordinator Academic Affairs informed the members that degree requirement of some of the students have not been completed due to some reasons and they may fulfill all the requirements before the next convocation is held. For all such cases the Senate authorized the Chairperson Senate to recommend names of students to the Chairman, BOG for approval of the award of degree, who would be completing their degree requirement before the convocation 2012.

Senate/2011-12/3.03.03: Recommendation of the UGCS for the course curriculum and numbering pattern of various courses were approved by the Senate. Same is attached as [SENATE/2011-12/3/Annexure -IV](#).

Senate/2011-12/3.04	Report of SPACS and recommendations for award of gold medals and other prizes to the students selected by the committees constituted for the purpose.
---------------------	---

Convener SPACS presented its recommendations regarding award of gold medals and other prizes for UG students. Based on the report of the Convener, SPACS the Senate unanimously recommended names of following students for award of various gold medals and other prizes to the BOG for its approval.

1. Chairman's Gold Medal (CGM)	:	Anand Kumar Rai (2008014)
2. Director's Gold Medal (DGM)	:	Gauri A Dani (2008046)
3. D&M Proficiency Gold Medal	}	1. Ankur Jain (2008017)
		2. Ankur Pandey (2008018)
4. D&M Proficiency Prize	}	For CSE: Animesh Kumar Sinha (2008015)
		For ECE: Md. Rafi (2008106)
		For ME: Sachin Kumar (2008101)
5. Academic Performance Proficiency Silver Medal	}	For CSE: Anand Kumar Rai (2008014)
		For ECE: Vihyanshu Mishra (2008125)
		For ME: Manu Rakesh (2008065)
6. Director's Silver Medal	}	For Games & Sports: Akhil Gairola (2008010)
		For Cultural Activities: Prateek Saxena (2008084)

Gauri A Dani (2008046) and Anad Kumar Rai (2008-14) were having the same cumulative performance index (CPI) to the first decimal place. However, based on the difference in CPI upto second decimal places, name of only Anand Kumar Rai was recommended for Academic Performance Proficiency Silver Medal. This decision was in line with the decision for Chairman's Gold Medal.

The Convener SPACS informed the members that the names of PG students were not finalized as some of the students have not defended their thesis. The Senate authorized the Chairperson Senate to recommend the names of the PG students to the Chairman, BOG for award of medals and prizes as per Senate manual.

Senate/2011-12/3.05	Reports of SACS, LCS, Students' Counseling services, International affairs unit of the Institute.
---------------------	---

Convener SACS presented the following SACS report:

Since last meeting of the senate (dated: 24/03/2012), period was peaceful. During this period students organized two major events - Abhikalpan-12 (annual Technical festival) and Tarang-12 (annual cultural festival). Committee appreciated and placed on record the behavior of students and their conduct in organizing these annual festivals. Students have organized both the festivals successfully and no matter of misconduct or indiscipline was reported. However, one matter of academic dishonesty has been reported by Dr. Sraban

Mohanty that a student changed his answer script and replaced his original answer script by a fabricated answer script when the concerned faculty was showing the evaluated answer sheets to students. Investigation is going on and will be reported to the Senate, once the SACS makes its recommendations. Except the above mentioned incidence, rest of the period was peaceful. The matter of changing the answer scripts and any possible tempering in the answer scripts was discussed in detail by the members of the Senate and it was decided to develop a mechanism to minimize such attempts in future.

Convener LCS presented following report:

Subscription details:

Online Journals (Total 2323) + 38 new titles added this year

Reading materials: Newspaper: 11 and Magazines: 22

Presently the library has Books - 9888 (Text, Reference, and Handbook)

Expenditure details for the year 2011-12:

Books: Rs 3, 84,446

Journals: Rs: 99, 83,376

Newspaper and Magazines: Rs: 25,000

New services: Library is going to start two new services soon.

1. Interlibrary Loan: from premier institutes like IITs/IIITs/NITs etc.
2. Purchase of eBooks: The eBooks will be accessible online from any system in the institute, besides they can also be downloaded for distribution among students and faculty.

Convener International Relations presented the following report:

With passing of each year, IIITDM Jabalpur – Japan collaboration is gaining new heights. Both Industry and academia of Japan are now having a good impression of our students and eager to place the students, both for MS program and in industry. Few among them are AMADA, Canon and GE energy. Some students are now pursuing MS in Japan's leading academic Institutions, University of Tokyo and Tokyo Institute of Technology. The year has seen appreciation towards Project based internship (PBI) from industries, both across India as well as Japan. Unfortunately mentorship program could not get a proper start. But efforts are on to bring it to practice in the coming years. Towards this, the Institute has signed an MoU with Chiba University, emphasizing on student exchange program.

IITDM Jabalpur - Japan Academic Collaboration

This year also some of the renowned experts from academia and industry across Japan visited the Institute. Few among them were Professor Takashi Nanya,

Research advisor to Canon, Mr. Takashi NISHIMOTO, a leading expert in Hydraulic system component design, Professor, Dr Ming YANG, an expert in the field of nano technology, Professor Dr.-Eng. Shinji SHIMIZU of Sophia University. They delivered course lectures and extended support in our teaching programme.

International Collaboration Projects

1. “Development of a micro pump with NEMS sensing function for an automatic blood collecting and measurement system.” It is a DST-JSPS joint collaboration project (2010-12). Professor Puneet Tandon, Drs. Tanuja Sheorey and Vijay Kumar Gupta are participating members from the Institute. Dr. Shinichi Warisawa, University of Tokyo and Dr. Kazuyoshi Tsuchiya, Tokai University, are the participating members from Japan.
2. “Dark image enhancement using Stochastic resonance”. It is a one year project supported by OMRON & The University of Tokyo. Participating members are Dr. Rajib Jha from the Institute and Prof. Aizawa from University of Tokyo, Japan.

Mini DeW 2011

A mini design workshop was conducted on March 23rd on “Oil Hydraulic Equipment and control”. Mr. Takashi NISHIMOTO, a leading expert in hydraulic system components design from Japan and Mr. Ravi Gupta, CEO, Sun Petpack Pt. Ltd., Mr Subramanyam, Maintenance head, VFJ, with his team, has attended the same along with the Institute faculty and students from mechatronics program.

JENESYS PROGRAMME

To strengthen the collaboration and to identify the areas of cooperation, faculty members of the Institute visit Japan. In this context the four faculty members of the Institute visited Japan under the JENESYS programme from November 20, 2011 - December 21, 2011. 6 students visited Japan under the JENESYS programme from December 3, 2011 - December 21, 2011 on long term internship in various industries / academic Institutions. 15 outstanding UG/PG/M.Des students visited Japan under the JENESYS programme from May 7, 2012 – 15 May 2012 on short term exposure visit

PBI Positions offered in 2011-12

- Project Based Internship (PBI) Position for the Mitsubishi Heavy Industries.
- Project Based Internship Position for the Canon Inc. Japan.

The Chairperson informed the members that in the new academic session, special efforts will be made to initiate collaboration with European Institutions of higher learning.

Senate/2011-12/3.06	New Conveners of the Standing Committees of Senate
---------------------	--

Following faculty members were unanimously nominated as conveners of the Standing Committees of Senate shown against their names.

Dr. V K Gupta - Convener UGCS and Convener PGCS

Dr. Tanuja Sheorey - Convener SACS and Convener SPACS

Dr. Dinesh Kumar V. will continue as Convener LCS.

The services of Dr. P K Padhy as convener UGCS & PGCS, Dr. P K Jain as convener SACS and Dr. Sunil Agrawal as convener SPACS were appreciated and placed on record.

Senate/2011-12/3.07	Ratification of the approvals accorded by the Chairperson, Senate.
---------------------	--

Following approvals accorded by the Chairperson, Senate during the period were ratified by the Senate.

S.No.	Date	Subject
1	28-03-12	Approval of audit for the Course EM 542a
2	29-03-12	Approval of New Course –EM 595a: Evaluation of Product Design
3	29-03-12	Approval of New Course- EM 592F: Industrial & Aesthetic in Concept Design
4	29-03-12	Approval of New Course-EM 591a: Design Engineering
5	03-04-12	Approval of New Course – EM 541a: Design for Rigidity and Reliability
6	11-04-12	Approval of New Course – EM 541a for PG students
7	12-04-12	Approval of Academic calendar and fee structure for summer courses 2012
8	18-04-12	Approval for course and course Instructors for Sem I 2012-13
9	23-04-12	Approval of the decision of the re-evaluation of the PBI
10	23-04-12	Approval for New Course –ES 601: Biomedical Engineering
11	01-05-12	Approval for extension of make-up examination up to 5 th May
12	03-05-12	Approval for Comprehensive Examination Report of A PhD Student- Mr. Amit Kumar, Roll No. 1120161

13	08-05-12	Approval for End Sem Result (Sem II, 2011-12) of B. Tech 2008 batch (ECE and ME discipline)
14	14-05-12	Approval of course instructor for summer course 2012
15	18-05-12	About the up gradation of grade after completion of all requirements for B Tech programme
16	22-05-12	Approval for Comprehensive Examination Report of A PhD Student- Mr. Neeraj Rao, Roll No. 1110265
17	25-05-12	Approval for change of Grade (B+) of the course- IT 203- Mr. Mayank Agrawal, Roll No. 2010105
18	25-05-12	Approval for drop of ES 206: Computer Graphics, Simulation & Visualization and IT 204: IT workshop 3 for Mr. Kapil Sahu
19	25-05-12	Approval for End Sem Result (Sem II, 2011-12) of B. Tech 2008 batch (CSE discipline)
20	25-05-12	Approval for Comprehensive Examination Report of A PhD Student- Ms. Swapnil Nema, Roll No. 1110267
21	30.05.12	Approval for End Sem Result (Sem II, 2011-12) of B. Tech 2009 batch
22	30.05.12	Approval for End Sem Result (Sem II, 2011-12) of B. Tech 2010 batch
23	30.05.12	Approval for End Sem Result (Sem II, 2011-12) of B. Tech 2011 batch
24	30.05.12	Approval for End Sem Result (Sem II, 2011-12) of PG (Master and PhD)
25	30.05.12	Approval for APEC report of UG &UG (Sem II, 2011-12)
26	05.06.12	Approval of New Course –ME 307c: IC Engines
27	11.06.12	Approval of Cancellation of Summer Course for some students
28	14.06.12	Approval of Cancellation of Summer Course for some students

At this juncture, some of the members suggested that annexures regarding ratification of items should be provided at least a few days in advance. The Coordinator Academic Affairs informed the members that due to the large number of the routine approvals, it become difficult to send the items by mails. Some of the members also remarked that the routine items need not be sent in advance. Finally it was suggested that a link be provided for such items and the same may be communicated in advance.

Senate/2011-12/3.08	Any other item with the permission of the Chair.
---------------------	--

Senate/2011-12/3.08.1: Non submission of grades by the Instructor -

The coordinator academic affairs asked for a clarification in case the instructor in charge does not submit the grades of some of the students. The matter was discussed in detail

and members came to the conclusion that it is an administrative lapse both on the part of instructor and the academic office. In order to remove the possibility of happening of such cases, online grade submission systems should be implemented with automation of all such activities.

Senate/2011-12/3.08.2: Modifications in UG & PG manual -

The Coordinator Academic Affairs (COAA) informed the senate that our academic programmes are fully residential and this fact has not been mentioned in the UG & PG manual. He proposed the following modification to include the nature of the programme.

“1.8 Residence: The Institute is essentially a residential one and unless otherwise exempted/permitted, every student shall be required to reside in, and be a boarder of a Hall of residence, to which they are assigned.”

Senate unanimously approved the above modifications in B. Tech. Manual and PG manual. It was also decided that for married students may be permitted to stay outside the campus as special cases till the married PG accommodations are made available by the Institute.

Senate/2011-12/3.08.3: Reporting after Pre-Registration –

The Coordinator Academic Affairs (COAA) informed the senate that pre-registration has been implemented from the current semester. Students have to be present in the Institute for reporting on the first day for confirmation of the Registration. He proposed that if a student fails to report on the first day of the registration, his pre-registration should be treated as cancelled and he will be required to re-register by paying the late registration fee. He proposed the following modification to include this:

“3.1.5 Registration: It is mandatory for a student to report on the day of reporting at the beginning of the semester failing which his/her pre-registration will be automatically cancelled. If for some valid reason a student fails to report, he needs to re-register after paying re-registration fees. However, if a student seeks prior permission to report late on valid grounds, she/he may be allowed by Convener, UGCS without paying the re-registration fees. Re-registration can be done only on the date of late registration as mentioned in the academic calendar.”

He also proposed modification in the academic calendar accordingly. Members approved the proposal unanimously.

At this point, discussions were made on fee deposition and some of the members suggested not to link academic registration with requirement of fee payment. Further, looking at the significant number of students having educational loan, it was decided to keep a large window of fee submission that should be stretched from the date of pre-registration in a semester to one week after the commencement of the next semester. This was unanimously approved by the Senate.

Senate/2011-12/3.08.4: The Case of Anamika Verma (Roll No. 2009149) –

The Coordinator Academic Affairs (COAA) informed the senate that Ms. Anamika Verma was admitted in the institute in the Semester II, 2009-10 on the orders of Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh. In the 2nd meeting of the Senate 2010-11, the Institute had proposed to allow her to have an overload by registering in three courses along with her PBI in place of normal load of two courses. The Senate had deferred its decision on the matter stating that the matter be reported again as she could cross the threshold of 8.0 CPI for taking overload. The Coordinator academic Affairs sought clarification /decision on following –

1. Should the student be allowed to have an overload in the seventh semester, with her present CPI of 7.9?
2. Should summer term of the session 2012-13 be counted as a semester for the purpose of residential requirement of her degree programme?

The Senate decided by majority the following –

Miss Anamika Verma should not be allowed any overload unless she fulfills the criterion according to the Senate manual. However, the summer term of 2012-13 session would be counted for fulfillment of residential requirement of her degree programme, if she registers in a course in the summer term as per her academic requirement of the degree.

Senate/2011-12/3.08.5: Leave during summer courses –

One of the senate members Dr. P K Padhy informed that there are no guidelines on the leave of the students during summer courses. Matter was discussed and it was decided that no leave will be prescribed for students registered in the current summer courses. However in special cases, Chairperson Senate was authorized to take a decision. At this point members suggested bringing the matter in the next Senate meeting for amendments required to be made for semesters running in the summer term.

Senate/2011-12/3.08.6: Academic Dishonesty

Coordinator academic affair bring to notice to the members of the Senate regarding two cases of academic dishonesty by the students:

1. In one case student tries to rise his marks by replacing the original exam copy with tailor made copy
2. In a second case a student produced false certificate of passing to a company before completion of program.

Both the cases are pending with SACS and decision of SACS committee will be placed before the senate in the next meeting.

Sd/-

Prabodh Pandey
Acting Secretary, Senate
PDPM IIITDM Jabalpur

Forwarded for approval please.

Sd/-

Prof. Aparajita Ojha
Chairperson, Senate